



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/588,508	06/06/2000	Guy Lynn Guthrie	AT9-99-505	8141		
75	590 04/16/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
BRACEWELI PO BOX 969	L & PATTERSON LLP	ί.	LI, AI	LI, AIMEE J		
AUSTIN, TX	78767-0969	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2183	6		
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fn

t1				M			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
		09/588,508	GUTHRIE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Aimee J Li	2183				
Period fe	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	ugust 2003.					
2a)⊠	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	<u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Ìnterview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/588,508 Page 2

Art Unit: 2183

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-11 have been considered. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 10 have been amended as part Applicant's request.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 5-6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being taught by Sproull, U.S. Patent Number 6,038,646 (herein referred to as Sproull).
- 4. Referring to claim 1, Sproull has taught a data processing system comprising:
  - a. An interconnect (Sproull column 6, lines 25-43; column 7, 46-50; Figure 1 and Figure 2);
  - b. An in-order processor that issues all memory access requests in program order (Sproull column 2, lines 56-63; column 3, lines 10-54; column 5, line 39 to column 6, line 20), wherein said processor issues said memory access requests from an instruction sequence only in said program order and accepts data retrieved by a first and a second memory access request into its execution units only in said program order (Sproull column 5, line 39 to column 6, line 20; Figure 1; and Figure 2);

Application/Control Number: 09/588,508

Art Unit: 2183

c. A memory system coupled to said processor which supports completing memory access requests in a weakly consistent order (Sproull column 6, lines 25-43; Figure 1; and Figure 2); and

Page 3

- d. A controller associated with said processor that forwards said memory access requests to said memory system (Sproull column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 7, lines 36-50; and Figure 2) and which automatically places a barrier operation on said interconnect following each issuance of a memory access request to said memory system (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b), wherein said barrier operation indicates a need to complete the data operations associated with the memory access requests in program order from the perspective of the processor (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b).
- 5. Referring to claim 2, Sproull has taught wherein said controller includes means for creating said barrier operations (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b).
- 6. Referring to claim 5, Sproull has taught an in-order processor comprising:
  - a. An instruction sequencing unit (ISU) that receives memory access instructions in program order (Sproull column 2, lines 56-63; column 3, lines 10-54; column 5, line 39 to column 6, line 20);

Art Unit: 2183

- b. A load store unit (LSU) including a controller that issues memory access requests associated with said memory access instructions to an interconnect that couples said processor to a memory system (Sproull column 6, lines 25-43; column 7, 46-50; Figure 1 and Figure 2) and wherein said controller automatically places a barrier operation on said interconnect in response to each issuance of a memory access request (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b), wherein all said memory access requests are forwarded to memory in the program order (Sproull column 5, line 39 to column 6, line 20; Figure 1; and Figure 2).
- Referring to claim 6, Sproull has taught wherein said controller includes means for creating said barrier operations (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b).
- 8. Referring to claim 9, Sproull has taught a method of processing instructions in a data processing system, having a memory system (Sproull column 6, lines 25-43; column 7, 46-50; Figure 1 and Figure 2), said method comprising the steps of:
  - a. Receiving an instruction sequence at a processor in program order, said instruction sequence including at least a first and a second memory access instruction (Sproull column 2, lines 56-63; column 3, lines 10-54; column 5, line 39 to column 6, line 20; Figure 1; and Figure 2;
  - b. In response to receipt of said memory access instruction, generating a memory access request and a barrier operation (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line

Application/Control Number: 09/588,508 Page 5

Art Unit: 2183

3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b);

- c. Automatically initiating said barrier operation after said memory access request is issued to a memory system (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b); and
- d. Upon completion of said barrier operation, completing said first and said second memory access request in program order at said processor (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 3-4, 7-8, and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sproull, U.S. Patent Number 6,038,646 (herein referred to as Sproull) as applied to claims 1, 5, and 9 above, in view of Karp et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,321,328 (herein referred to as Karp).
- 11. Referring to claims 3, 7, and 10, Sproull has taught
  - a. Means for ignoring a pending barrier operation when a subsequent load request appears in the instruction sequence (Applicant's claims 3, 7) (Sproull column 3,

Art Unit: 2183

line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b); and

- b. Ignoring a pending barrier operation of said first memory access request when a subsequent memory access request in the instruction sequence is a load request (Applicant's claim 10) (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b).
- 12. Sproull has not explicitly taught speculatively issuing load requests to said memory system and interconnect before the pending instruction is completed, wherein said subsequent load request is speculative because said subsequent load request is issued before a previous memory access request that may invalidate or change data retrieved by said load request completes within the memory system (Applicant's claims 3, 7, and 10). However, Sproull has taught issuing the subsequent load requests to said memory system and interconnect (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b). A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, and as taught in Karp, that speculative issuing of load instructions improves memory latency and ensures that data that is currently needed for execution is not displaced (Karp column 1, lines 41-60 and columns 1-2, lines 66-18), thereby increasing a processor's efficiency by decreasing memory latency associated with fetching data from memory (Karp column 1, lines 11-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to incorporate the speculatively issued load

Application/Control Number: 09/588,508

Art Unit: 2183

Page 7

instruction of Karp in the device of Morris to decrease memory latency and increase processor speed.

- 13. Referring to claims 4, 8, and 11, Sproull has taught
  - a. Wherein said controller includes means for allowing data returned by a speculatively issued load request to be utilized by said processor only when an acknowledgment is received from all barrier operations pending when said load was issued (Applicant's claims 4 and 8) (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b); and
  - b. The step of forwarding data returned by said speculatively issued load request to a register or execution unit of said processor, when an acknowledgment is received for said barrier operation (Applicant's claim 11) (Sproull column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 3; column 4, line 52 to column 5, line 12; column 8, line 8 to column 9, line 34; Figure 3a; and Figure 3b).

## Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/588,508

Art Unit: 2183

16. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aimee J Li whose telephone number is (703) 305-7596. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7:30am-5:00pm.
- 18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (703) 305-9712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aimee J. Li April 13, 2004 EDDIE CHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Page 8